

PEOPLE / PEOPLE

When the Form Has No Box for You: Rukshana Kapali's Fight With the State

Rukshana Kapali turned conflicts over legal identity and educational records into wider activism across transgender rights, Nepal Bhasa, Newa issues, housing justice and law.

SEPTEMBER 24, 2026



When the Form Has No Box for You: Rukshana Kapali's Fight With the State

Rukshana Kapali turned conflicts over legal identity and educational records into wider activism across transgender rights, Nepal Bhasa, Newa issues, housing justice and law.

By Nepal Digest - September 24, 2026 - Nepal

Rukshana Kapali's activism began with a problem that sounds administrative until administration begins deciding whether you can study, work, travel or be recognised as yourself. Her educational records, citizenship documents and gender identity did not align, forcing routine procedures into years of petitions and litigation. Kapali turned those personal encounters with bureaucracy into a broader body of work across transgender rights, Nepal Bhasa, Newa indigenous issues, housing justice and legal reform. Her central argument is simple but far-reaching: institutions are never neutral when the categories they use

determine whose identity fits.

Born in Lalitpur in 1999, Kapali is a Newa transgender woman from the Jugi community. She studied linguistics and sociology at Tribhuvan University and later completed a BA.LLB course, combining academic work with legal activism. She is also chief executive of Queer Youth Group, an organisation she helped establish to produce resources, provide legal assistance and advocate around sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression and sex characteristics.

By 2026, Kapali had also entered electoral politics as a proportional-representation candidate for the Progressive Democratic Party. The party failed to secure parliamentary representation, so the campaign did not result in a seat. The move nonetheless marked a new stage in a career previously centred on courts, civil society and public advocacy: an attempt to move from challenging institutions outside them to seeking a role in lawmaking itself.

Growing Up Newa in Lalitpur

Kapali grew up in Lalitpur, or Yala, within a Newa family and cultural environment. Nepal Bhasa was part of her life from childhood, and language later became as central to her activism as gender rights.

This matters because Kapali's public work cannot be understood through one identity alone. She is transgender, Newa, a member of the Jugi community, a language activist, a legal campaigner and a person with a mild physical disability.

Her activism developed around the intersections between these categories rather than treating them as separate social issues.

When Language for Identity Is Missing

Kapali has written publicly about growing up without a social environment equipped to understand transgender identity. For many people, the first problem is not legal discrimination but the absence of vocabulary through which to explain what they are experiencing.

Language shapes what can be communicated to family, schools and public offices. When institutions possess only rigid categories, people whose lives do not fit them are forced either to disappear or to become constant exceptions.

Kapali's later work on terminology reflects this early experience. Precise language became a tool of legal and political recognition.

Documents Become the Battlefield

Identity documents are often treated as technical records, but they determine access to almost every formal system. A mismatch

between a person's name, gender identity and certificates can create repeated problems in education, employment, banking and travel.

Kapali's citizenship certificate initially identified her under an "other" gender category rather than as a woman. Her educational records also contained older information that did not correspond with her identity.

The result was a chain of administrative conflicts in which she repeatedly had to explain herself to institutions that treated their own records as more authoritative than the person standing in front of them.

Education Turns Into Litigation

Kapali's effort to continue her education became one of the defining episodes of her activism. Registration systems and academic documents created barriers because the name and gender on earlier records did not match the information she was using in later life.

Instead of accepting the mismatch as permanent, she began pursuing legal remedies. What should have been a routine process of correcting personal records became a constitutional question.

The conflict exposed a wider problem affecting transgender people across Nepal: rights may be recognised in principle while

administrative systems remain designed around older assumptions.

The Supreme Court Case

Kapali petitioned Nepal's Supreme Court seeking recognition of her gender identity across official records without being forced into medical verification or a generic third-gender category. The case drew on Nepal's constitutional protections, previous Supreme Court precedents and international human-rights standards.

The Court ultimately ruled in her favour, directing that she be legally recognised as a woman on relevant documents. Human Rights Watch later described the ruling as an important affirmation that a transgender woman should be recognised according to her self-identified gender rather than medical certification.

The significance extended far beyond one set of certificates.

Why Legal Gender Recognition Matters

Legal recognition affects ordinary life. A person whose documents conflict with presentation may be questioned during exams, job applications, travel, banking or medical care.

Each interaction can force unwanted disclosure. The person may be treated as suspicious simply because the government's own

records are inconsistent.

Correct documentation therefore functions as infrastructure for dignity and equal participation.

The Problem With the “Other” Category

Nepal has often received international praise for recognising a third-gender category, and that recognition was historically significant. But activists such as Kapali have argued that the same category can become restrictive when authorities force all transgender people into “other” regardless of how they identify.

A transgender woman may want recognition as female; a transgender man may want recognition as male. A third-gender option should expand choice rather than replace self-determination.

Kapali’s litigation helped sharpen this distinction in Nepal’s legal debate.

Queer Youth Group

Kapali co-initiated Queer Youth Group as a network and later helped formalise it as a non-profit organisation. By 2026 she was serving as its chief executive officer.

The group works across research, terminology, legal assistance, public resources and policy advocacy. Its work reflects a

generational shift in Nepal's queer movement toward more specific language around different identities and experiences.

Rather than treating all gender and sexual minorities as one homogeneous category, QYG attempts to build vocabulary and legal analysis that recognises difference within the community.

Why Terminology Is Political

Words may appear secondary to material rights, but legal systems depend on definitions. A law cannot protect a category it cannot describe clearly.

Translation complicates the problem further. Terms developed in English do not always map neatly into Nepali or Nepal Bhasa, while locally rooted identities may be lost when everything is imported through global LGBTQ terminology.

Kapali's work on language attempts to navigate both directions: ensuring access to international rights frameworks without erasing local linguistic context.

Nepal Bhasa as a Rights Issue

Kapali's language activism extends beyond queer terminology into Nepal Bhasa and the rights of indigenous and local-language communities. For her, language is not simply heritage; it determines access to public life.

If municipal offices, schools or courts operate only through dominant languages, citizens who use other languages face an unequal burden. Recognition therefore involves practical access as much as symbolic celebration.

This connects queer rights and language rights through the same institutional question: who must adapt to whom?

The Newa Dimension

Kapali identifies strongly with Newa indigenous nationality and has written extensively on Newa history, language and social issues. Her activism resists reducing Newa identity to festivals, food or architecture.

Urban development, land rights and municipal policy directly affect indigenous communities in the Kathmandu Valley. Cultural identity is therefore connected to housing, property and public space.

This is where Kapali's work increasingly moved beyond individual legal recognition toward collective rights.

The Jugi Community and Satachhen

From around 2022 onward, Kapali became involved in advocacy concerning the Jugi community's traditional housing and the Satachhen area. The campaign focused on ownership, housing

security and recognition of longstanding residence.

Housing disputes are often framed as technical questions of title and development. For marginalised communities, they can also involve history, caste, occupation and displacement.

Kapali's work treats housing as a rights issue rather than merely a property-market issue.

Why Urban Development Is Not Neutral

Roads, redevelopment and infrastructure are usually described as progress. Yet construction can displace communities, destroy heritage and make neighbourhoods unaffordable to people who lived there before land became commercially valuable.

The distribution of costs matters. A road that benefits commuters citywide may impose the largest burden on one small community whose homes are removed.

Kapali's urban activism asks that these effects be considered before development is treated as automatically beneficial.

Intersectionality in Practice

Kapali frequently uses an intersectional approach because social identity does not arrive one category at a time. A transgender Newa woman from a marginalised caste community experiences institutions differently from someone who shares only one of those

identities.

This approach can make advocacy more complicated because simple campaigns are easier to communicate. Yet simplification often leaves the most marginalised people outside the solution.

Kapali's work deliberately resists that convenience.

Legal Activism as a Method

Kapali has relied heavily on litigation, filing writ petitions and providing legal assistance to others facing discrimination. Courts become one route when administrative agencies refuse to change voluntarily.

Strategic litigation can produce precedents that extend beyond the original petitioner. One person's dispute becomes an interpretation that government bodies are expected to follow.

The weakness is implementation. A favourable judgment does not automatically change every office, database or official practice.

From Judgment to Implementation

This gap between legal victory and administrative reality is one of the central themes of Kapali's career. Nepal has progressive court decisions on gender and sexual minority rights, yet citizens continue encountering inconsistent interpretation across institutions.

Officials may not know the ruling, software may not support the correct category or agencies may demand documents another agency refuses to issue.

Rights become real only when implementation becomes routine.

International Recognition

Kapali's activism received significant international recognition. She appeared on the BBC's 100 Women list in 2023 and TIME's TIME100 Next list in 2024.

Such recognition expands a campaigner's platform and can create international pressure around local rights issues. It can also produce an odd contrast.

A person may be celebrated globally while still needing to argue with a domestic office over an ordinary document.

Recognition Does Not End Bureaucracy

This contrast is one of the most revealing features of modern advocacy. Awards create visibility, but public systems respond through rules, forms and staff procedures rather than applause.

Kapali's experience demonstrates why symbolic progress and administrative progress should be measured separately.

International visibility can help generate pressure, but the final work often happens in the least glamorous location: an office where one field in a database must finally be changed.

Education and Law

Kapali pursued higher education in linguistics, sociology and law while fighting over the documentation required to participate in that education. The overlap made academic study unusually practical.

Linguistics informed language activism; sociology provided tools for analysing social hierarchy; legal training strengthened litigation and policy work.

The degrees therefore sit directly inside the work rather than functioning as separate credentials.

Entering Electoral Politics

In 2026, Kapali contested the House of Representatives election through the proportional-representation list of the Progressive Democratic Party. Her campaign connected queer rights with indigenous rights, housing, disability access and social justice.

The party failed to cross the threshold required for proportional seats and did not win direct seats, so Kapali did not enter Parliament. The campaign nevertheless represented an important

shift in her public role.

Activism asks political institutions to respond. Candidacy asks voters to give the activist authority inside those institutions.

What Electoral Politics Changes

Courts evaluate arguments through law; elections evaluate candidates through parties, coalitions and public trust. The skills overlap only partially.

A rights activist can maintain a relatively focused agenda, while a political candidate must take positions across taxation, infrastructure, foreign policy and countless other issues.

Kapali's 2026 run tested whether a public identity built around rights advocacy could translate into broader electoral politics.

The Party's Failure and the Limits of Personal Visibility

The Progressive Democratic Party's poor election performance demonstrated a basic reality of proportional politics: a well-known individual cannot win a seat if the party fails to secure enough national votes.

This makes party structure crucial. Candidates depend on collective organisation, funding, messaging and voter networks beyond their own constituencies of support.

For Kapali, the result did not erase the campaign but showed how different institutional politics is from litigation or civil-society advocacy.

Activism After an Election

Electoral defeat does not end the work that preceded the candidacy. Kapali remained active in queer rights, legal advocacy and language issues after the election.

This continuity matters because rights movements cannot depend entirely on holding office. Governments change, parties split and election cycles move quickly.

Civil society provides a form of persistence that electoral institutions often lack.

The Form Has No Box

Kapali's story repeatedly returns to forms, classifications and categories because these small administrative structures reveal how the state imagines its citizens. A form contains assumptions about gender, family, language and identity before anyone fills it in.

When a person does not fit the available options, institutions often ask the person to simplify rather than ask whether the form is inadequate.

Kapali's activism reverses that expectation.

Bureaucracy as a Rights System

Human-rights debates often focus on constitutions and court judgments, but much of equality is experienced through bureaucracy. A law can promise dignity while a clerk, database or certificate undermines it.

This is why Kapali's focus on documentation is more significant than it first appears. Administrative systems are where abstract rights become daily practice.

A state recognises someone most concretely when its ordinary systems stop treating that person as an exception.

A Wider Definition of Representation

Representation is often discussed in terms of who sits in Parliament or appears on television. Kapali's work suggests another definition: whether institutions can represent a person accurately in their own records.

A wrong gender marker, erased language or insecure housing can all be forms of misrecognition. Correcting them is not merely symbolic.

It determines access to education, employment, services and belonging.

What Comes Next

Kapali's future may continue across several arenas rather than settling into one. Law, organisational leadership, research, language activism and politics all remain available routes.

This multiplicity can appear unfocused from outside, but the underlying question is consistent. Each field contains systems that classify people and distribute power.

The Politics of Administrative Design

Kapali's work demonstrates that discrimination can exist without a hostile law. A database that accepts only certain gender categories or a form available in only one language can exclude people even when no official intends harm.

This is why administrative design deserves political attention. Software specifications, document templates and translation policies determine how rights are experienced long after legislators finish debating the principle.

Reform therefore requires lawyers to speak with programmers, schools, registrars and local offices rather than treating implementation as a secondary detail.

The Cost of Having to Litigate Everything

Strategic litigation can produce powerful precedents, but requiring individuals to go to court for routine recognition is itself a sign of institutional failure. Court cases demand time, money and emotional energy that most citizens do not possess.

Kapali's victories are important partly because they should reduce the need for the next person to repeat the same struggle. A precedent becomes meaningful when an office applies it automatically to people who were never parties to the case.

The long-term measure is therefore not the number of lawsuits won but the number of future disputes avoided.

Rights Movements and Internal Difference

Queer communities are not politically uniform. People differ by class, caste, ethnicity, disability, geography and ideology, and those differences shape what they need from advocacy organisations.

Kapali's intersectional approach attempts to keep those differences visible, but it also makes coalition-building harder. A campaign broad enough to include everyone can become difficult to explain in one slogan.

This tension is normal in mature rights movements. Diversity inside a community is evidence of political life, not a failure of unity.

What the 2026 Election Revealed

Kapali's election campaign showed the limits of personal recognition within Nepal's party system. International awards and a strong activist profile did not translate automatically into parliamentary representation because proportional candidates depend on the party's total vote.

The Progressive Democratic Party's poor result demonstrated that rights advocates entering politics need organisation as much as visibility. Electoral systems reward networks, party identity and broad public trust across issues beyond the candidate's specialism.

The campaign therefore added a new lesson to Kapali's institutional experience: courts and elections distribute power through very different rules.

Politics After the Party Split

The Progressive Democratic Party later experienced internal division after the election, further illustrating the instability of new political formations. For individual activists, party conflict can complicate the question of whether to remain inside partisan

politics or return to independent advocacy.

Kapali's longer-term political path remains open. What is already clear is that electoral participation became one more institutional arena in a career that had never been confined to a single method.

Why Her Work Is Difficult to Categorise

Observers often prefer specialists: a transgender activist, a language activist, a housing activist or a lawyer. Kapali is all of these at once because the systems she challenges overlap in real life.

The state encounters citizens through documents, language, land, education and law simultaneously. Fragmenting those issues into separate boxes can reproduce the very simplification her activism criticises.

Her broad agenda is therefore not accidental. It reflects the complexity of the institutions themselves.

What Success Would Actually Look Like

The strongest outcome of Kapali's activism would not be a future filled with more landmark court cases. It would be the opposite: ordinary people correcting records, using their language or accessing public services without needing an activist, lawyer or international organisation to intervene. Rights become mature

when they stop requiring exceptional persistence.

This standard also changes how progress should be measured. A government can point to a Supreme Court judgment or constitutional provision, but the relevant question is whether the lowest-level office follows the rule automatically. Implementation is where recognition becomes routine rather than ceremonial.

Kapali's work has repeatedly forced institutions to confront that distinction, which is why apparently small battles over forms and records carry consequences far beyond one person's file.

That principle also explains why her work remains difficult to reduce to one campaign. Documentation, language, housing and gender recognition may look separate from the outside, but they are all ways the state defines who belongs, whose history is legible and which lives fit administrative expectations. Kapali's activism keeps returning to those points where classification becomes power.

Rukshana Kapali's activism began because official systems did not contain the right box for her life. She responded by challenging not only the box but the assumptions behind it. Whether in a university form, citizenship record, language policy, housing map or election campaign, the argument remains the same: institutions should be designed around the people who actually exist, not force people to disappear inside categories that were never built for them.

