

CULTURE / INTERNATIONAL MOVIES

The Kathmandu Dream: Drugs, Freedom, Love and Disillusionment in Les Chemins de Katmandou

A 1969 French film captured Kathmandu at the height of the hippie trail. More than half a century later, it reveals as much about the Western dream of Nepal as it does about Nepal itself.

SEPTEMBER 28, 2026



The Kathmandu Dream: Drugs, Freedom, Love and Disillusionment in Les Chemins de Katmandou

A 1969 French film captured Kathmandu at the height of the hippie trail. More than half a century later, it reveals as much about the Western dream of Nepal as it does about Nepal itself.

By Nepal Digest - September 28, 2026 - Nepal

How a 1969 French film captured the hippie generation's fascination with Nepal—and exposed the darker side of the search for freedom.

In the late 1960s, Kathmandu was becoming something larger than a city in the Western imagination. For a generation of young Europeans and Americans disillusioned with war, consumerism, conservative social values and the rigid expectations of post-war society, Nepal seemed to represent an alternative world.

Kathmandu appeared distant enough to feel like an escape, spiritual enough to promise meaning, inexpensive enough to make long stays possible and unfamiliar enough to allow travellers to imagine that another way of living might exist.

It was into this atmosphere that the 1969 film *Les Chemins de Katmandou*, or *The Roads to Kathmandu*, appeared. Directed by André Cayatte, the Franco-Italian drama starred Renaud Verley as Olivier, Jane Birkin as Jane, Elsa Martinelli and Serge Gainsbourg, who also composed music for the film. René Barjavel worked on the story and screenplay and published a novel with the same title in 1969. The basic premise is simple: Olivier travels east searching for his estranged father, falls in love with Jane, and discovers that her apparently liberated hippie life is being consumed by drug dependence.

Watch the 1969 Trailer

The surviving French trailer offers a compact glimpse of the film's visual world, its late-1960s countercultural atmosphere and the way Kathmandu was framed for European audiences.

Les Chemins de Katmandou (1969) — French trailer (Bande Annonce VF), uploaded on YouTube by Le Projectionniste.

More than half a century later, *Les Chemins de Katmandou* is not usually mentioned among the defining classics of European

cinema. From a Nepali perspective, however, its value lies somewhere else. It captures a historical moment when Kathmandu was being transformed from a relatively distant Himalayan capital into one of the symbolic destinations of the global counterculture. The film is therefore more than a tragic romance. It is a record of a powerful foreign fantasy about Nepal, and of the contradictions contained inside that fantasy.

A Road That Began With Disillusionment

The film begins with dissatisfaction rather than tourism. Olivier belongs to the restless generation shaped by the political and cultural upheavals of the late 1960s, including the atmosphere surrounding May 1968 in France. That moment had promised a profound break with established authority. Students and workers challenged institutions, hierarchy, social convention and the assumptions of post-war European life. For many young people, the failure of that energy to produce the transformed society they imagined left behind frustration and uncertainty.

Olivier carries a more personal grievance as well. His father, Jacques, has left the family, and Olivier believes his mother has suffered because of his absence and irresponsibility. He travels east partly to confront him and to recover money he believes is owed. This matters because Olivier is not initially the stereotype of a Western seeker heading toward Nepal for enlightenment. He begins with a practical and emotional mission: he wants answers

from his father and a settlement with the past.

That purpose changes when he meets Jane. Played by Jane Birkin, Jane embodies much of what the counterculture appeared to promise: spontaneity, beauty, unconventional relationships, movement and rejection of the expectations attached to respectable European life. To Olivier, she appears free in exactly the way he wants to become free. Their relationship grows quickly, and she becomes increasingly central to his journey.

The film places a shadow behind that freedom almost immediately. Jane is dependent on drugs. What initially resembles liberation gradually becomes another form of captivity. Olivier wants to save her, and that desire pulls him into compromises that contradict the ideals he believes he represents. The romantic road movie begins to turn into a story about dependency, exploitation and the limits of escape.

The film's most enduring question is not whether a person can leave society behind, but whether leaving society is the same thing as becoming free. Its characters cross borders easily; their fears, dependencies and contradictions travel with them.

Why Kathmandu?

To understand why Kathmandu was such a powerful setting in 1969, it is necessary to understand the hippie trail. From the mid-1960s into the early 1970s, young travellers moved overland from Europe through Turkey, Iran, Afghanistan, Pakistan and India, often travelling cheaply by bus, shared vehicle or hitchhiking before reaching Nepal. Kathmandu became one of the legendary endpoints of that route.

The journey itself carried ideological meaning. Flying directly to a destination was not the point. Slow overland travel offered distance from conventional careers, family expectations and the highly organised consumer societies many travellers were rejecting. A person could spend months moving eastward, living cheaply, meeting other travellers and experimenting with new identities. By the time they reached Nepal, the journey had already become part pilgrimage, part rebellion and part extended social experiment.

Near Kathmandu Durbar Square, Jhochhen Tole became closely associated with this influx and acquired the international nickname **Freak Street**. Guesthouses, cafés and businesses adapted to foreign travellers. Cannabis was readily available during the period, and Kathmandu's permissive reputation became inseparable from its countercultural appeal. Historical photographs from the era show young foreign travellers mixing

with local residents around Basantapur and Jhochhen, surrounded by the architecture and everyday street life of old Kathmandu.

Les Chemins de Katmandou did not create that phenomenon. The movement was already well established, and it would be inaccurate to credit one French film with turning Nepal into a hippie destination. What the film did was capture and circulate an image that had already acquired international force. It is best understood not as the origin of the Kathmandu myth, but as one of its surviving cultural documents.

When the Search for Freedom Meets Money

Once Olivier reaches his father, another fantasy begins to collapse. Jacques does not provide the clean confrontation or moral resolution Olivier expects. Instead, Olivier encounters a world shaped by money, compromised loyalties and the illicit trade in valuable religious and cultural objects. The idealistic young European who travelled east partly in rejection of Western materialism finds himself surrounded by people who are perfectly willing to turn the sacred and historical objects of Asia into commodities.

Seen from Nepal today, this subplot is among the film's most revealing. Western travellers of the period often described themselves as rejecting consumer society, yet they could still

consume an imagined version of Asia. Religion could become a personal spiritual experience detached from its local context. Temples could become scenery. Poverty could be romanticised as simplicity. Cannabis could be reinterpreted as rebellion. Traditional objects could be transformed into collectible artefacts for foreign buyers.

The contradiction does not belong only to the characters. It reflects a broader pattern in travel and cultural representation. A visitor may sincerely admire another society while simultaneously turning it into a resource for his or her own search for meaning. The country becomes a backdrop, and its people become secondary to the traveller's internal drama.

This is one reason the film is more interesting now than a straightforward plot summary suggests. Olivier's journey does not take him from corrupt Europe into pure Nepal. It takes him from one set of contradictions into another, while forcing him to confront the contradiction inside himself. As Jane's condition worsens, money becomes increasingly important. Olivier is pushed toward criminal acts he would once have condemned because he convinces himself that the purpose—saving Jane—justifies the means.

Jane Birkin and the Collapse of the Hippie Dream

Jane's deterioration gives the film its emotional centre. She initially seems to embody the promise of countercultural freedom, but addiction gradually removes her ability to choose. Olivier becomes desperate to rescue her, yet love proves inadequate against the forces surrounding them. His increasing desperation is what makes the film darker than the exotic imagery surrounding Kathmandu might initially suggest.

Jane Birkin's presence gives the film an additional historical layer. She would later become one of the most recognisable cultural figures associated with France, despite being British-born, and her artistic and personal relationship with Serge Gainsbourg would become part of European popular culture. In *Les Chemins de Katmandou*, however, Birkin appears at an earlier moment, and her youth and vulnerability fit the film's countercultural atmosphere with unusual force.

Her Jane does not resemble a conventional tourist following an itinerary. She seems to belong to the road itself: mobile, unattached and uninterested in conventional structure. Yet those same qualities leave her exposed. The film turns the visual vocabulary of the hippie era—youth, movement, unconventional intimacy and rejection of authority—into something increasingly fragile.

Gainsbourg's presence adds another unmistakably European countercultural reference. He plays Ted and is credited with the film's music. The production therefore brings together two cultural worlds that rarely occupied the same frame before the 1960s: European artistic rebellion and a Himalayan capital entering the imagination of mass international travel.

What makes the story tragic is not simply that Jane takes drugs. It is that her apparent freedom becomes indistinguishable from the loss of control. The film's deeper argument is that rejecting rules does not automatically produce autonomy. A person can escape parents, institutions, careers and social expectations while becoming controlled by addiction, money, ideology, another person or the need to keep escaping.

Whose Kathmandu Are We Watching?

A modern Nepali reading of the film requires an important distinction: the Kathmandu shown in *Les Chemins de Katmandou* is not Kathmandu in its full social, political and cultural complexity. It is primarily Kathmandu as foreigners imagined and experienced it. Nepal functions partly as a real country and partly as a metaphor for distance from Europe.

Kathmandu in the late 1960s was not an empty mystical playground waiting for Western travellers to discover it. It was a living city shaped by centuries of Newar civilisation, Hindu and

Buddhist traditions, political institutions, trade, families, craftsmen, merchants, farmers, officials and neighbourhood communities. Its temples were not built as spiritual scenery for visitors. Its religious traditions were not alternatives designed to repair European dissatisfaction. Cannabis had local cultural, medicinal and religious associations long before Western hippies arrived.

Yet much Western counterculture writing and imagery interpreted Nepal through the needs of outsiders. If a traveller was searching for spirituality, Nepal appeared spiritual. If the traveller wanted escape, Nepal appeared free. If he wanted simplicity, Nepal appeared untouched. If she wanted an anti-materialist society, it was possible to imagine one. The real country could easily disappear behind the projection.

This is where the film becomes particularly useful to watch today. It tells us something about Nepal, but it may reveal even more about what Europe wanted Nepal to be. Kathmandu became a mirror for Western dissatisfaction. The landscape was real, but much of the meaning attached to it was imported by the travellers themselves.

That pattern did not disappear with the hippies. International travel writing still frequently describes Nepal as timeless, mystical, spiritual, hidden or untouched. Such language can

attract visitors and support tourism, but it can also flatten a changing society into a marketable fantasy. Modern Nepal is not outside history, and modern Kathmandu is not frozen in the visual memory of 1969.

From Freak Street to Thamel

The world captured by the film did not last. Nepal's legal and regulatory environment around narcotics tightened during the 1970s, and the Narcotic Drugs (Control) Act, 2033 (1976) established the national legal framework prohibiting a broad range of narcotic-drug activities. The countercultural economy that had made Freak Street famous lost much of the environment that sustained it.

Foreign tourism, however, did not disappear. It changed form. As the Freak Street era declined, Thamel grew into the more durable centre of Kathmandu's international visitor economy. The transition reflected a change in the traveller as well. The wandering hippie was gradually joined and then eclipsed by backpackers, trekkers, mountaineers and organised adventure tourists. Guesthouses became more professional. Trekking companies, travel agencies, equipment stores, restaurants, bars and transport services multiplied.

The historical irony is considerable. Many early hippie travellers rejected commercial society, but their presence helped

demonstrate the economic potential of international tourism. Nepali entrepreneurs learned what foreign visitors needed—rooms, meals, guides, transport, information, social spaces—and businesses grew around those needs. A movement that imagined itself as an escape from commerce helped reveal the commercial possibilities of tourism.

This shift also changed Kathmandu's geography of tourism. Freak Street became associated with memory, while Thamel became the functioning centre of the visitor economy. Later generations came to Nepal not primarily to smoke hashish and disappear into a counterculture community but to trek in the Himalaya, climb mountains, visit national parks, study religion, volunteer, work remotely or simply experience a country that had accumulated decades of global mythology.

The Film's Impact—and the Limits of Its Impact

It is tempting to describe *Les Chemins de Katmandou* as the film that made Kathmandu famous to Western youth. That claim would be too strong. Kathmandu's hippie reputation emerged from a much larger network of overland travel, word of mouth, music, photography, books, newspapers and the international counterculture itself. By the time the film appeared, young travellers were already moving east in substantial numbers.

The film's importance lies less in creating the movement than in preserving it. Cinema has an unusual ability to hold atmosphere in place. Roads change, hotels close, laws shift, neighbourhoods are rebuilt and people grow old. A film keeps the clothes, faces, anxieties, aspirations and visual language of an era moving in front of us.

Viewed that way, *Les Chemins de Katmandou* is a cultural time capsule. It preserves the moment when Kathmandu had become shorthand for escape in parts of the Western imagination. It shows the attraction of that dream while simultaneously undermining it. Love exists beside addiction, idealism beside corruption, spiritual longing beside cultural exploitation, and the rejection of money beside the desperate need for it.

Its influence also survives indirectly through the broader image of Nepal that the hippie period helped establish. Even after Freak Street declined, the country remained associated internationally with alternative living, spiritual searching, dramatic landscapes and journeys of personal transformation. Tourism marketing would later emphasise trekking, mountains and heritage rather than drug culture, but the idea of Nepal as a place where one might step outside ordinary life remained powerful.

What Survived the Hippie Trail

The hippie trail eventually disappeared as borders hardened, regional politics changed, commercial aviation expanded and the social movements that produced it lost momentum. Yet its imprint on Kathmandu did not vanish with the buses and backpackers. It survived in the geography of tourism, in the international vocabulary used to describe Nepal and in the continuing expectation that a journey here should be more than an ordinary holiday. Nepal became associated not only with mountains but with personal reinvention, spirituality and distance from conventional life. Those associations would later be repackaged for very different travellers, from trekkers and meditation students to gap-year visitors and digital nomads.

There is also a more complicated cultural legacy. The hippie period brought foreign currency, international visibility and new opportunities for local entrepreneurs, but it also helped establish habits of representation that Nepal still negotiates today. The country can be marketed as ancient while its society is rapidly modernising, as peaceful while its political history has been turbulent, or as untouched while millions of Nepalis participate in global labour, education, technology and culture. The old Kathmandu dream therefore survives less as a physical place than as a narrative. *Les Chemins de Katmandou* matters because it shows that narrative while it was still taking shape. Seen from

Nepal today, the film is not simply nostalgia for a vanished era; it is evidence of how quickly a foreign gaze can turn a real city into an international symbol.

The Harder Journey Begins After Arrival

The strongest idea in *Les Chemins de Katmandou* ultimately has little to do with geography. Everyone in the film is travelling toward something. Olivier travels toward his father and then toward Jane. Jane travels away from conventional structure. The hippies travel away from Europe. Adults pursue money. Addicts pursue relief. Nearly everyone believes that something outside themselves will resolve something inside themselves.

Kathmandu is an especially effective destination because it was so far from the world these characters knew. If freedom could not be found there, perhaps freedom was not simply a geographical problem. The film's pessimism comes from that realisation. Distance is not transformation. A person can carry resentment, loneliness, dependency and confusion from Paris across continents and still arrive with them intact.

That message has aged better than some of the film's representation of Nepal. Today's visitor may arrive by aircraft instead of an overland bus. A smartphone has replaced the handwritten travel diary, while Instagram and TikTok have replaced many of the stories once passed between travellers in

cafés and hostels. Thamel has replaced Freak Street as the dominant tourist quarter, and digital nomads now occupy some of the cultural space once claimed by hippies.

Yet the promise remains familiar: go somewhere else and perhaps become someone else. Modern travel culture still sells transformation. Sometimes travel genuinely provides it. A journey can expand perspective, create lifelong friendships and force a person to reconsider assumptions that once seemed permanent. But the film offers the counterargument. Travel can change the setting without resolving the traveller.

For Nepal, there is another lesson. The world has been imagining this country for generations. Those images have brought visitors, attention and economic opportunity, but they have also reduced Nepal at times to mountains, mysticism, poverty, spirituality or escape. The challenge is not to reject international fascination; it is to ensure that Nepal is not defined entirely by the dreams outsiders bring with them.

That is why *Les Chemins de Katmandou* deserves to be revisited more than half a century later. It shows a Kathmandu seen through foreign eyes—full of fascination, distortion, beauty and misunderstanding. It captures a period when Nepal entered a global countercultural map, and it reveals the fragile boundary between searching for freedom and simply running away.

In 1969, the roads to Kathmandu carried young travellers toward a place many believed might offer another way of living. Some found adventure, friendship or spiritual meaning. Others simply continued travelling. The mythology they created survived long after the original hippie trail faded. What the film understands, beneath its melodrama, is that no destination can promise salvation. Kathmandu could provide distance, unfamiliar streets, temples, mountain horizons, new relationships and the intoxicating feeling of reaching somewhere completely different. What it could not guarantee was that the traveller would become a different person.

There were many roads to Kathmandu. The harder journey began after arriving.

Featured image: Roger McLassus / Wikimedia Commons, 27 June 1973.
Licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0.

Further reading: AlloCiné: Les Chemins de Katmandou · Nepali Times: Hippie Trail nostalgia in Kathmandu · Nepali Times: Karna's Karma and the rise of Thamel · Nepal Law Commission: Narcotic Drugs (Control) Act, 2033

Originally published by Nepal Digest - <https://nepaldigest.com/kathmandu-dream-les-chemins-de-katmandou/>