

PEOPLE / PEOPLE

Writing With Her Toes: Jhamak Ghimire and the Freedom of Words

Jhamak Ghimire taught herself to write with her left foot and became a Madan Puraskar-winning author whose work challenges disability, gender exclusion and the assumptions built into education and public life.

SEPTEMBER 23, 2026



Writing With Her Toes: Jhamak Ghimire and the Freedom of Words

Jhamak Ghimire taught herself to write with her left foot and became a Madan Puraskar-winning author whose work challenges disability, gender exclusion and the assumptions built into education and public life.

By Nepal Digest - September 23, 2026 - Nepal

Jhamak Ghimire became one of Nepal's most important contemporary writers by entering language through a route almost no school system was prepared to provide. Born with cerebral palsy and unable to use her hands in the conventional way, she taught herself to write with her left foot after watching other children learn. What began as letters traced in dust became poetry, essays, columns and the autobiographical work *Jiwan Kada Ki Phool*, which won the Madan Puraskar and placed her at the centre of Nepal's literary conversation. Her story is often described as an

inspirational triumph over disability, but her writing asks for something more demanding: recognition that the barriers around disabled people are created not only by bodies, but by families, schools, architecture, prejudice and the assumptions of other people.

That distinction is central to understanding Ghimire as a writer rather than as a symbol. The physical act of writing with her foot is striking, but it should not become the only thing readers see. The deeper achievement lies in the intellectual and literary world she built once written language became available to her. The method attracts attention; the work deserves attention afterward.

Born in Dhankuta

Jhamak Kumari Ghimire was born in 1980 in Dhankuta district in eastern Nepal. Her physical disability affected movement and speech from early childhood, placing her in a social environment where many people around her did not immediately understand the difference between bodily limitation and intellectual ability. In communities with limited disability awareness, such misunderstanding can become one of the first barriers a child encounters.

Family members may respond with pity, frustration, superstition or overprotection, each of which can reduce opportunity even when motivated by concern. Ghimire's later writing documents

how these attitudes shaped her childhood. The problem was never simply that her body moved differently; it was that others often treated difference as evidence that education or self-expression would not matter.

Watching Other Children Learn

Ghimire did not receive the ordinary school pathway her siblings and neighbours could access. Instead, she watched and listened to lessons happening around her, absorbing letters and sounds that were not necessarily being taught to her directly. This kind of observational learning required unusual concentration because she had to build a curriculum from fragments.

The process reveals something important about intelligence and access. A child can be ready to learn while the environment remains unprepared to teach. When the system misreads the learner, exclusion can appear natural even though it is institutionally produced.

The First Letter

One of the most powerful details in Ghimire's autobiographical writing is the memory of tracing the Nepali letter "ka" on the ground. She practised with her toes repeatedly, developing sores in the process, until the shape became hers. For most children, writing a first letter is a routine milestone; for Ghimire, it

represented entry into a world other people had assumed might remain closed.

The significance was larger than literacy itself. Written language allowed her to bypass the limits other people placed on spoken communication and to record thought directly. Once a sentence could be produced, other people had fewer excuses for pretending the mind behind the body was inaccessible.

Writing With the Left Foot

Ghimire eventually developed enough control to write extensively with her left foot, using pen and paper in a movement that visitors and photographers would later find extraordinary. The visual reversal of the usual writing posture became central to media coverage of her life. Yet from the writer's perspective, the physical method is only the mechanism through which thought reaches the page.

A sentence written by foot still requires memory, syntax, judgment and imagination. The body changes the method, not the intellectual act. This is why the fascination with how she writes should always lead back to what she chose to write.

The Cost of Self-Education

Teaching oneself under conditions of exclusion can sound heroic after success arrives, but it is also evidence of institutional failure.

Ghimire should not have needed extraordinary determination simply to access basic literacy. The effort that later made her famous was partly required because ordinary accommodations and educational expectations were missing.

This changes the moral meaning of the story. Rather than asking only how one person overcame difficulty, readers are invited to ask why the difficulty was made larger by the surrounding society. Inspiration is useful only when it leads to better access for the next child.

Disability and Intelligence

One of the recurring problems people with severe physical disabilities face is the assumption that limited movement or speech indicates limited thought. This can shape family decisions, medical care and education long before the person has any opportunity to demonstrate otherwise. Ghimire's literary career became a public contradiction of that assumption.

Her work did not merely prove that she was intelligent; it exposed how inadequate the original judgment had been. The issue is broader than one writer. Societies lose talent whenever communication difference is mistaken for absence of intellect.

Poetry First

Ghimire wrote poetry as well as prose, developing a literary voice before her autobiography made her nationally famous. Poetry offered a form suited to compression, emotion and the transformation of private experience into language. It also allowed her to write around pain without reducing every line to explanation.

Disabled writers are often expected to write primarily about disability. Poetry creates room for desire, nature, anger, politics and imagination beyond autobiography. A body may shape experience without becoming the only available subject.

Essays and Columns

Her writing expanded into essays and columns, allowing her to participate in public conversation rather than remain inside a narrowly literary category. Journalism and commentary create a different relationship with readers because the writer responds to social questions in real time. The public begins encountering the person not only as a subject of interest but as an interpreter of society.

This is particularly important for disabled public figures, who are frequently spoken about rather than listened to. Writing reverses that relationship. Ghimire becomes the observer rather than the

observed.

Jiwan Kada Ki Phool

Her best-known work, *Jiwan Kada Ki Phool*—often rendered in English as *Is Life a Thorn or a Flower?*—brought together autobiography, social criticism and reflection. The book tells the story of childhood, exclusion, self-education and the struggle for dignity without turning perseverance into a sentimental slogan. It is often emotionally direct and unsparing about the attitudes she encountered.

The title itself captures a refusal to simplify life into either suffering or triumph. A thorn and a flower can belong to the same plant, just as pain and beauty can coexist without cancelling one another. This complexity is one reason the book became more than an inspirational memoir.

Why the Autobiography Mattered

Autobiography gave Ghimire control over a narrative other people had been telling about her body since childhood. Doctors, relatives, neighbours and journalists could describe what they saw, but only she could explain what those interactions felt like from inside. Writing became a form of narrative sovereignty.

The book also made visible forms of discrimination many readers recognised but had rarely examined closely. Gender, disability and economic dependence intersect throughout the story. A disabled girl encounters expectations different from those faced by a disabled boy or a non-disabled girl.

The Madan Puraskar

Jiwan Kada Ki Phool received the Madan Puraskar, Nepal's most prestigious literary award. The recognition transformed Ghimire's public status and ensured that the book would be read far beyond circles already interested in disability. A writer who had once been denied ordinary educational expectations was now being honoured by the country's literary establishment.

The symbolism was powerful, but the award mattered most because it redirected attention toward the text. Public fascination with her writing method could now coexist with formal recognition of literary quality. The author had become impossible to discuss merely as a curiosity.

Only the Second Woman

At the time of the award, Ghimire was only the second woman after Parijat to receive the Madan Puraskar. This added another layer to the significance of the moment. Nepali literary institutions had historically reflected the same gender imbalances present

elsewhere in public life.

Her recognition therefore intersected with both disability and gender. The award did not solve either problem, but it expanded the visible range of who could stand at the centre of Nepal's literary canon.

The Danger of the “Inspirational” Label

Ghimire's life is frequently introduced through words such as inspiring, courageous and extraordinary. These descriptions are not false, but they can become limiting when admiration replaces analysis. Disabled people often encounter a cultural pattern in which simply living, studying or working is presented as heroic because expectations were set too low to begin with.

This phenomenon can depoliticise exclusion. If the focus remains on individual resilience, schools and governments are not asked why access was so difficult. Ghimire's work is more challenging than the inspirational version because it directs attention back toward society.

The Social Model of Disability

Modern disability-rights thinking often distinguishes between impairment and disability. Impairment refers to bodily or sensory conditions; disability is also produced by environments that fail to accommodate those conditions. A staircase disables a wheelchair

user in a way a ramp does not, while a school without communication support can disable a child whose mind is fully capable of learning.

Ghimire's biography illustrates this distinction vividly. Cerebral palsy shaped her body, but exclusion from education, limited infrastructure and social prejudice amplified the difficulty. Many of the obstacles were not medically inevitable.

Care and Autonomy

Ghimire's life also complicates the false idea that autonomy means needing no help. People with disabilities may depend on others for some daily activities while remaining fully autonomous in thought, creative work and decision-making. Dependence and agency can coexist.

This is an important principle because families sometimes justify excessive control as care. Respectful support helps a person exercise choice; it does not substitute the caregiver's preferences for the person's own life.

The Family Relationship

Family occupies a complicated place in disability narratives because relatives can be both essential sources of support and sources of exclusion. Ghimire's writing does not reduce family life to a simple conflict between good and bad people. Limited

knowledge, poverty, frustration and social attitudes can all shape harmful behaviour.

This complexity makes the work more credible. Social change requires understanding why exclusion persists, not merely identifying villains. Families need information, resources and support as well as moral challenge.

Gender and Disability

Disabled women can face distinct vulnerabilities involving education, dependence, bodily autonomy and expectations around marriage or caregiving. Their sexuality may be ignored entirely, while families may assume they should remain permanently childlike. These attitudes can erase adulthood.

Ghimire's literary voice resists this erasure by presenting a complete inner life rather than the simplified identity others assign. The writer claims adulthood through language even when society struggles to recognise it.

The Body as Public Property

Famous disabled people often experience intrusive curiosity about their bodies. Audiences want to know how they eat, write, travel or complete ordinary tasks. Some curiosity is understandable, but it can turn the person into a public exhibit.

Ghimire's writing offers a way to reverse the gaze. Instead of endlessly examining how her body functions, readers are asked to consider how their own assumptions function. The observer becomes subject to scrutiny.

Photographs of Writing

Images of Ghimire writing with her foot remain powerful because they disrupt the familiar iconography of authorship. The desk, hand and pen are replaced by another physical arrangement, yet the result is still literature. The photograph therefore exposes how arbitrary our visual assumptions about writing can be.

The danger is stopping at the image. A photograph can turn the author into spectacle if the books themselves remain unread. The stronger response is curiosity about the sentence that emerged from the movement.

Speed and Literature

Modern media rewards speed, but Ghimire's writing process demands patience. The body may require more time to produce each line, yet slowness has no necessary relationship with intellectual depth. Literature has always operated on a different timeline from conversation.

A sentence written slowly can outlast thousands of rapidly spoken words. Her career makes this distinction unusually visible and challenges the idea that fluency must look physically fast.

Public Speaking Without Conventional Speech

Public culture often privileges people who can respond instantly in interviews, debates and television panels. Ghimire's communication works differently, meaning the rhythms of ordinary media are not always designed around her. This is another example of how institutions can create disability through format.

Accessible public conversation may require more time, alternative communication and a willingness to organise events differently. Inclusion is not achieved simply by inviting someone into a structure that remains built for other bodies.

Literature as Political Presence

Ghimire does not need to hold office or lead a formal movement for her writing to have political consequences. Literature changes the range of experiences considered worth serious attention. Once readers enter the interior world of a disabled woman, public stereotypes become harder to maintain unchanged.

This is one reason autobiography can be politically powerful without becoming a manifesto. Intimacy creates knowledge that abstract policy language often cannot.

Disability Rights in Nepal

Nepal has made progress in disability law and public awareness, but physical access, inclusive education and employment remain uneven. Legal rights are strongest when people can use them without extraordinary effort. A ramp, trained teacher or accessible examination procedure may appear mundane compared with national legislation, yet these details determine whether rights function.

Ghimire's biography keeps returning attention to those practical questions. The problem is not whether society admires disabled people; it is whether people can study, move, work and create with ordinary dignity.

Inclusive Education

Her childhood offers one of the strongest arguments for inclusive education. A child should not have to prove extraordinary potential before receiving basic access to learning. Education systems are supposed to begin from the assumption that students can learn, then adapt methods when bodies or communication styles differ.

When this happens early, self-education does not need to become an act of rebellion. The next Jhamak Ghimire should be able to enter literacy without drawing letters secretly in dust.

Assistive Technology

Technology creates new possibilities for people with motor disabilities through adapted keyboards, speech systems, eye-tracking and other interfaces. These tools can dramatically reduce the physical cost of communication when they are affordable and available. The challenge in Nepal remains access and local-language support.

Ghimire's career began before many such technologies were widely available. Her experience should therefore strengthen the argument for investing in tools that make future writers less dependent on extraordinary physical adaptation.

The Economics of Access

Accessibility is often treated as expensive, but exclusion carries economic cost too. A person denied education may require greater support while being prevented from contributing skills that could otherwise become economically valuable. Ghimire's literary career demonstrates how much society would have lost if the original assumptions about her capacity had remained unchallenged.

Inclusive systems are therefore not only moral investments. They expand the number of people able to participate fully in cultural and economic life.

Columns and Public Interpretation

Ghimire's work as a columnist helped normalise the idea that she could comment on public life rather than only narrate personal disability. This distinction matters because marginalised writers are often invited to discuss only the category through which they are marginalised. A disabled writer should be allowed to write about politics, literature, family, nature or anything else.

Expertise and curiosity do not remain inside identity categories. Public culture becomes richer when writers are not confined to representing one aspect of themselves.

The Film Adaptation

Jiwan Kada Ki Phool later moved beyond the page through adaptation, another sign of how deeply the story entered Nepal's cultural imagination. Adaptation creates a paradox because a film can make the life accessible to new audiences while also simplifying interior writing into visible drama.

Autobiography gives readers direct access to the author's own language. Cinema necessarily interprets that language through

actors, images and direction. The two forms should therefore be understood as related but not interchangeable.

Ownership of the Story

When a life becomes nationally famous, other people begin telling it constantly. Journalists summarise, filmmakers interpret and motivational speakers extract lessons. The risk is that the author loses control over the meaning of her own experience.

Returning to Ghimire's writing is the strongest corrective. The primary account remains the one she created herself. Everything else is commentary.

Beyond Overcoming

The phrase "overcoming disability" implies that the body itself is the enemy to be defeated. Ghimire's work points toward a more precise interpretation: she overcame exclusion, lack of access and the expectations of people who underestimated her, while continuing to live with cerebral palsy.

This distinction respects both reality and agency. Disability does not disappear because someone succeeds.

The Freedom of Words

Written language gave Ghimire something that physical mobility and conventional speech had not provided easily: direct public self-expression. Once she could write, she could preserve thought without depending entirely on other people's interpretations. That is why the image of the first letter remains so powerful.

The letter was small, but it created a route outward. Everything that followed—poetry, essays, autobiography, awards and national recognition—grew from the fact that she had found a medium nobody could fully take away.

What Her Career Asks of Readers

Readers can admire the determination involved in writing with a foot. The more difficult response is to examine the assumptions that made such determination necessary. Admiration becomes ethically useful only when it changes how the next disabled child is treated.

Ghimire's life therefore places responsibility on the audience. The story cannot end with applause.

The Writer, Not the Method

Jhamak Ghimire will always be introduced through the extraordinary physical method by which she writes because the

image is impossible to ignore. But literary history should remember more than that.

The most fitting legacy would be a future in which another disabled child does not need extraordinary struggle simply to receive ordinary educational access. Ghimire's achievement should raise expectations for institutions, not lower them by treating exclusion as the natural starting point.

That standard remains unfinished, and it belongs to the institutions around her as much as to the writer herself.

She is a writer who used language to claim intellectual space in a society that had once struggled to imagine her as a student at all. The foot holding the pen is part of the story; the freedom created by the words is the reason the story matters.

Originally published by Nepal Digest - <https://nepaldigest.com/jhamak-ghimire-writing-with-her-toes/>