Nepal Digest

LIFE. CULTURE. PEOPLE & NEPAL

AI & TECHNOLOGY / APPS & SOFTWARE

Code made in Kathmandu

Nepal’s software builders are finding global customers without leaving home.

AUGUST 18, 2026

Prerna Karki

Code made in Kathmandu

Nepal’s software builders are finding global customers without leaving home.

By Prerna Karki - August 18, 2026 - Nepal

Nepal’s software builders are finding global customers without leaving home.

What is changing

The headline points to Nepal’s software economy, but the important story is not a single trend or announcement. It is how local teams can build for users at home and abroad. That requires looking at the systems around the subject: who makes decisions, who carries the cost, which benefits are measurable and what can be sustained after the initial attention has passed. Nepal’s geography and federal structure make simple national conclusions especially risky. Conditions vary sharply between the capital, secondary cities, market towns and rural municipalities, so this article treats place as part of the evidence rather than as background scenery.

Public data gives the discussion a firmer starting point. Nepal’s 2021 census was the first conducted under the federal structure and was designed to support planning and resource allocation at granular administrative levels. World Bank country work similarly stresses that progress in infrastructure, education and connectivity exists alongside persistent gaps in job creation, service quality and resilience. These sources do not settle every local question, but they help separate durable structural change from a handful of vivid examples. Where the available evidence is national, we do not present it as proof of an outcome in every community.

The system behind the headline

A useful way to understand Nepal’s software economy is to follow the everyday chain of consequences. Changes in skills, connectivity, product discipline and export opportunity affect how people allocate money and time, whether a service is dependable and whether a promising idea can survive outside a pilot. A decision that looks efficient from one institution’s perspective can transfer work or risk to households, small businesses or local government. Editorial coverage should therefore ask not only whether something is growing, but also who can use it, what maintenance it requires and which people remain excluded.

The strongest opportunities are practical rather than theatrical. Clear standards, predictable administration, transparent

information and capable local institutions can compound over time. Better coordination matters because responsibilities are often split among federal, provincial and municipal bodies, as well as private operators and community organisations. Investment matters too, but capital without operations, skills and accountability can leave visible assets that deliver inconsistent value. The test is whether the system works on an ordinary day, for an ordinary user, after the launch event is over.

There are also trade-offs that deserve direct treatment. Faster expansion can widen access, yet it can also put pressure on land, ecosystems, heritage, safety or affordability. Formalisation may improve standards while making it harder for small informal providers to participate. Digital tools can simplify transactions while excluding people with weak connectivity, limited literacy or little trust in institutions. A credible approach makes these tensions visible and explains the choices; it does not turn every development into either a triumph or a crisis.

What better practice looks like

Evidence should shape the pace of action. Administrators and organisations can publish usable baseline data, define what success means, test interventions at a manageable scale and report what changed. Communities can contribute knowledge that formal datasets miss, especially about seasonality, accessibility and the distribution of unpaid work. Journalists have a role in

returning after implementation, comparing promises with outcomes and correcting the record when later evidence changes the picture. This is slower than repeating claims, but it produces information readers can use.

For readers, the immediate questions are concrete. Is the information current? Who is responsible for the service or decision? What costs are not shown in the headline? Is there an accessible alternative? How was safety considered? What happens outside Kathmandu or beyond the most photographed destination? Asking these questions makes the subject less abstract. It also gives citizens, customers and travellers a clearer basis for deciding where to place their time, money and confidence.

For policymakers and institutions, inclusion has to be designed rather than assumed. Consultation must reach beyond the organisations already fluent in official processes. Information should be available in understandable language and useful formats. Grievance and feedback systems need a visible path to resolution. Procurement and partnerships should disclose responsibilities. Measures of success should consider reliability and distribution, not merely total output. These habits are not decorative safeguards; they determine whether public confidence grows or erodes.

What comes next

The next phase of Nepal’s software economy will be shaped by implementation. Nepal has skilled people, strong community networks and a growing ability to connect local work with regional and global markets. It also faces difficult terrain, institutional fragmentation, climate exposure and uneven access to opportunity. Both realities can be true. The productive editorial position is neither easy optimism nor reflexive pessimism, but sustained attention to evidence, capability and the lived experience of people using the system.

This story should be read as an evidence-led overview, not as first-hand reporting from every place it discusses. It draws on public institutional sources listed below and uses them to frame questions for continued reporting. No interview, private conversation or unnamed source is represented here. As more recent local data becomes available, Nepal Digest will update the analysis, explain material changes and preserve a correction record so readers can see how the account evolved.

A stronger public conversation also depends on comparable information over time. One snapshot can reveal pressure or possibility, but repeated measurement shows whether policy and practice are moving in the intended direction. Nepal Digest will look for district-level evidence, implementation records and accounts from affected communities in future reporting. Readers

with relevant public documents may share them with the newsroom. Submissions will be checked against primary records before they are used, and contributing a document does not guarantee that a particular interpretation will be adopted.

ABOUT THIS STORY

How we reported this

Desk research using the public institutional sources listed here. This overview does not claim original interviews or first-hand field reporting.

Sources

- Nepal Telecommunications Authority: MIS reports
- World Bank: Nepal digital skills assessment

AI-use disclosure

Generative AI assisted the first structured draft. An editor is responsible for source selection, factual review, framing and publication.

Originally published by Nepal Digest - https://nepaldigest.com/code-made-in-kathmandu/